UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,046	10/31/2006	Gustav Strobel	06-387	9279
	7590 06/11/2010 LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL S		RODDEN, JOSHUA E		
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN,	CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,046	STROBEL, GUSTAV		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joshua Rodden	3637		

	Joshua Rodden	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interstant SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sloset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec orresponding number of finally reje	E below); lucing or simplifying th					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.114. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed allowed by the claim(s).	See attached Notice of Non-Con	,	,				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12-15, 20 and 21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Joshua Rodden/ Art Unit:3637	/Michael Safavi/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3637					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 12, 15, 20 and 21 raise new issues which require further consideration and possibly further search.

Continuation of 11. Applicant's arguments appear directed to the amended claims as proposed in the after final response and are thus, not deemed persuasive to overcome the final rejection. Applicant remarks that the proposed amendment incorporates claim 14 into independent claim 12. However, the proposed amendment sets forth further amendments to claim 12 as at line 3 and lines 5-7 as well as lines 14-16. As such, the proposed amendment does not merely put claim 14 into claim 12. In any event any amendment that inserts the language of claim 14 into claim 12 would present new issues with respect to at east claims 13, 20, and 21. Additionally, it should be understood that the final rejection as filed on 03/05/10 teaches a rejection of claim 14 under Weldener in view of Strobel, wherein the final rejection teaches all of the claim limitations of Claims 12 and 14. Wherein it should also be understood that while Strobel does teach an arcuate surface (labeled as (BB) in Annotated Figure 4 of the Final Rejection), Strobel also teaches the pin (11.1 and 11.2) being attached to the latch (4) via a hole (10) which allows the latch (4) to be securely attached to the pin (11.1 and 11.2) and thus allows the stacking column of Weldener as modified by Strobel to meet the newly added claim 12 functional language: "such that it is not possible for the pin (7) to slip out of the pin holder (8) while the pivotability of the latch (6) about the pin (7) is ensured."